

Oct. 3, 2012 (#1185)
ALAN WATT
"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"
LIVE ON RBN

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Oct. 3, 2012:
Brave New World, Alpha, Beta, or Deleta:
"Eugenicists Promoting Their New Advancement,
"Diagnosing Genes" for Moral Enhancement,
Starting with Embryos Which will Be Slotted
Into "Compliant"--- Non-Compliant Aborted,
Altruistic Pleasers will Allow Most to Live,
And Deferential Tax-Payers, Eager to Give,
Critical Thinkers with Possible Defiance
Will Go Down the Toilet Thanks to Science,
Scientific Spin Makes Incredulous Permissible,
Russell--"Such Techniques would Be Irresistible" "
© Alan Watt Oct. 3, 2012

**Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Oct. 3, 2012 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes and Callers' Comments)**

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca
alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:
alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

**Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and
DONATIONS:**

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#) [Canada](#) [Europe/Scandinavian](#) [All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through the Matrix on the 3rd of October, 2012.

For newcomers I hope you look into cuttingthroughthematrix.com website and help yourself to the free audios that are there for download. Remember too the sites listed on the .com site are the official sites. They all carry audios. They all carry transcripts too in English for print up of a lot of the talks I've given, and if you want transcripts in other languages go into alanwattsentientsentinel.eu for transcripts in the ones of your choice.

Remember too you are the audience that bring me to you because this is not a business and I'm not here because I like doing what I do. I'm only here because it was time to come out and basically start and try to turn the whole patriot movement around that was kind of navel gazing. They didn't understand the big picture of eugenics, of the world system that was coming into play. And it wasn't just one country involved or two countries, it was a whole planet that was moving along in an ordered fashion run by a few at the top. A few organizations, very old organizations, they've had many names down through the centuries that eventually morphed into the one that's out in the open, which is the **Royal Institute for International Affairs**, started up basically by the international bankers of the City of London in cahoots with Washington, New York, and they also created the CFR, **Council on Foreign Relations**, and set up a plan basically to build on the old British Empire system of the same kind of standardized system across the planet with free trade which is really restricted trade. It's only for international corporations only, and for their own big partners of course at the top of course and also to do with the free movement of labor across the world. And also for the big boys themselves to own all of the resources across the planet. And we're well, well into this whole path now. This is the century of change remember and all their big plans have to come out into the open in this century. And it's already happening in fact as we morph in the great transition as they call it, into this whole system planned a long time ago.

So help yourself to all the audios and information. And remember too you bring me to you. I'm not backed by advertisers. I don't sell anything outside the books and discs at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. And so I need your support, so if you want to buy them you can go into cuttingthroughthematrix.com, and from the U.S. to Canada you can use personal checks, international postal money orders, you can use cash, or you can use PayPal. Across the world Western Union and Money Gram. Remember straight donations are awfully welcome as I say, PayPal as well.

We are going through incredible changes and I remember back in the 70's reading articles in the mainstream from the CFR and from their organization in England, London, to do with the global society. And the big players were all there of course at this international meeting they held in London and they were deciding who would run the culture for the whole world, should it be the British system with their movies and all the rest of it and the documentaries from the BBC, or should it be from the States, Hollywood, etcetera. And they picked Hollywood. And that's all happened because they had a whole series of events of cultural changes, they called them cultural revolutions, to put through on the public generation by

generation until they had them pretty well dysfunctional and dependent completely upon the government for every thing that they needed to exist and survive. That's all happened. It's all happened in a short span of time.

Most folk adapt you see. They adapt and they don't realize it's intergenerational changes. And as Darwin said we're the most adaptable species on the planet. Literally those who understand the sciences of the human sociology, the psychology, you got neuroscience, behaviorists, they can make you do anything and you're the last one to figure out that the ideas you have are not yours at all. Back with more after this.

{Break ♪}

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through the Matrix, and talking about the system that you take for granted because you're born into it and it already exists so it must be quite normal. That's how you accept things. Everyone around you is the same. It must be normal because it's here. If it wasn't normal there would be some other kind of system; that's what you think. And you find too that ancients talked about this too, ancient philosophers in Greece and other places too down through the centuries right up to the 20th, 21st century. They still talk about it too, how they can bring up whole groups of people with a fake reality if they have them in the right settings and secluded from other people. And Russell talked about it too, he said, we can bring up children completely separate from the rest and tell them that snow is black and wherever they go from there on and meet the other people outside, they'll always say that snow is black. And they'll always argue about it because they'll never accept it's white. Normal is whatever everyone else around you accepts as being the truth or fact or self evident.

So we're taught from birth really to be indoctrinated by the system that's already in abundance all around you. And it's a total system, a completely total system. Personally I don't think feudalism ever deserted the planet, even though they had all this talk about democracy and the fading away of feudalism and royalty, nobility, etcetera. I don't think that ever really, really occurred. I think the nobility were far too wise and I think they gave us democracy basically to help further their own agenda in fact because they created all the different avant-garde groups that would destroy the old cultures amongst the general population. It's been awfully effective. And then government steps in with more agencies to take control of the fallout that's happened everywhere.

But I think too that it stops them having revolutions and rebellions every so often because you vote the new guy in hoping that he's going to be better than the last one, and in actual fact you are voting the last guy out you see. That's what democracy is based on, voting the present people out and hoping for something better. You live in hope you see. And the ones at the top had top massive think tanks, worldwide think tanks on the go for over a century, well over a century to do with the cultural changes they wanted to bring about. And they went really, you can't say overboard, there's no overboard today; everything goes. And in the 30's they actually came out with a lot of this stuff, the 1930's, how they could alter, radically alter cultures to break the old system that kept the people together. It made them unite at times to fight enemies, common enemies including their own nobility at times. And that had to be destroyed. And so the end of the family unit was essential. The family also includes extended family and when you've got an extended family you've got a small tribe. So when one

person's in trouble you all stand up for them and that's how it used to be you see.

H.G. Wells talked about destroying this and why they had to do it. And he said eventually when we've destroyed all of that then each individual will be contacted directly by government instantaneously. And there would be nobody around to stand in the way between the government and the person. I would call them the victim. That's happened, here we are.

So eugenics is a big, big part of it because they talked about this, even in Plato's day. About why people knew certain things or could grasp certain things like geometry faster than other ones and they had all their different theories about it. Today they simply call it eugenics and superior people, the superman and all of that kind of stuff that was awfully popular in the 20th century to the present. But now of course they call it bioethics because eugenics got a bad name, a bad rap after Hitler came along you see and he started killing off what he claimed was the unfit, those who couldn't contribute to society and would pass their bad genes on down through generations for future problems. The same thing still happens today.

Bertrand Russell who was also employed by MI5, MI6, he was involved in top international groups that only got orders from presidents and prime ministers at the time to set up a new post World War II culture for the West. And he was into all of this kind of stuff. He even had experimental schools on the go in the 20's and 30's. By a special charter he could experiment with children, right down to pre-pubertal sex to see if they could make the sexual aspect of things hyperactive, hyper-neurotic you might say. And so that people would never be content with one person, which would mean they would never mate for life with one person; that would end the family unit. And he also said too that the state would bring up, give the morals to the children through scientific indoctrination. While with scientific indoctrination the parents would simply be the economic providers for the child. That's happened today too, that's all happened. And when these guys say things it's not because they're just talking off the top of their head with a wish list and things or dreams, they're talking about things they're already involved in, which were under way then, and now they're established. All these agencies are established today to deal with the culture and what kind of culture you'd have.

He also talked about the fact that techniques would be evolved. They were already working on them back in the 30's, 40's, and 50's to do with indoctrinations, ***continuous life education***, that was the camouflage term they used. What they meant by that was you'd be upgraded all your life by the media, first through radio, then television came in big time, and they would use that too. And fiction too, lots of fiction and dramas to alter your opinions about things and upgrade you into the new system step by step by step. That's all happened too, monkey see, monkey do.

And what he also touched on was the fact that the people, the great mass of people, you always find this with these people who are awfully elitist, the great mass of people to them is seen as that. They don't see individuals in the people, the working classes and so on, they see a mass of people. And they're always distrustful of them. They don't believe that they could possibly be moral in any natural way at all. Moral to them means to obey the leaders at the top implicitly, instantaneously. That's what they mean by morality. And they would give them

new kinds of morals etcetera first to destroy their whole system and then to have government agencies come in, step in, and even grab their children etcetera if they weren't indoctrinating them properly or contaminating them with old-fashioned ideas. He talked about all this stuff.

Strange enough it was exactly the same as the communist system too. They talked about the same thing. And it's the far left today, they still talk about the same stuff. And it's all financed by the ones at the top, the international moneylenders themselves that started up the Royal Institute for International Affairs and the Royal Society.

He said it would be absolutely impossible for government, impossible he says for government to bypass these techniques and not use them on the future generations to do with creating the types of general populations which would obey implicitly, as I say, without question. He said it would be impossible for governments to bypass the temptation to use them.

Now here's an article here...

"The New Eugenics: Engineering "Moral Enhancement" by embryo screening and selective abortion"

"Recently Oxford philosophy professor Julian Savulescu..."

Alan: I've mentioned him before.

"...moved his campaign for "moral enhancement" out of the ivory tower and into the mainstream. This month Reader's Digest is carrying his article, "It's Our Duty to Have Designer Babies," in which he promotes the idea that "people have a moral obligation to select ethically better children." By select he means to screen embryos genetically to determine which will have superior moral traits."

Alan: Now everyone hears these things and reads these things and they don't think. I'm sorry that people don't think about the wording of things. They all think they know what things mean but it's in a very vague way when you come down and ask them what does morality mean to you. What does it mean? Does it mean getting on with your neighbors and not killing them? What does it mean? And "superior moral traits", you see. Now morality is simply plastic, morality itself is plastic and it can be bent in any shape they want to at the top. They give you different moralities down through the ages you see. But generally you'll find that natural morality has developed by itself and not by scientists or kings or whatever, or priesthoods, to keep everything working without as I say killing each other or stealing from each other and so on and causing disruption and chaos. What they're saying here, "**moral traits**", is obedience, quiet obedience as a workhorse basically at the bottom, and to obey the system, those who rule the system.

I've mentioned **Charles Galton Darwin** so many times but you've got to read his book on the next million years, it's called "**The Next Million Years**".

It says:

"As a historian, I find his suggestions troubling. They seem to parallel the

misguided attempts of the eugenics movement of the early 20th century, which promoted the artificial selection of individuals by controlling reproduction. This led not only to compulsory sterilization laws in many U.S. states, but also to the Nazi campaign to kill the disabled, during which physicians murdered more than 200,000 people."

Alan: Remember the communists too; you know they actually killed a whole class of people off. They killed off, a hundred million were slaughtered. Because they claimed in their ideology they didn't belong.

"In his article Savulescu tries to assure us that the new eugenics that he is proposing is essentially different from the early eugenics movement. The objectionable feature of the early movement, he claims, was its compulsory nature, while the new eugenics is voluntary."

Alan: He says. Isn't that nice? They're crafty sods, eh?

It says:

"However, if Savulescu convinces us that "designing" moral babies is an obligation, how long will it remain voluntary? In 2008 he was singing a different tune. In an article that Savulescu co-authored, he advocated coercion: "If safe moral enhancements are ever developed, there are strong reasons to believe that their use should be obligatory, like education or fluoride in the water, since those who should take them are least likely to be inclined to use them. That is, safe, effective moral enhancement would be compulsory." His recent insistence that "moral enhancement" would be voluntary might just be window dressing to make his views more palatable. But even if it were voluntary, his proposal to improve the human species morally by genetically selecting the most moral individuals is fraught with problems, both philosophical and practical...."

Alan: And I'll touch on them when I come back after this break.

{Break ♪}

Alan: Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through the Matrix, going through eugenics and a later article here, a recent article about the same old deal of altering human behavior through manipulating their genes. And also it really is to do with compulsory abortion. It doesn't mention it here but of course if they determine your genes are faulty, if this particular gene in combination with other genes might just make you anti-social as they call anyone who complains about government, then down the tube you go. You're flushed down the toilet, that's it.

Anyway it says:

"(1) The science on which it rests is shaky. Savulescu..."

Alan: Which is just savul basically, it's another con you know.

"...claims that because of advances in genetics we "now know that most psychological characteristics are significantly determined by certain genes."

Alan: See. This is what they claim.

"This claim is not scientifically proven. The early eugenics movement also claimed scientific status for overblown claims about heredity, and most scientists now see it as "pseudo-scientific.""

Alan: I don't think they do when you hear them talking privately. They just don't want to say it in public because they still believe that.

"Even if some behaviors are biological, they are influenced by many genes. The idea that we can produce more moral humans by choosing this particular gene and eliminating another is simplistic and does not do justice to the real science of genetics."

(2) If humans are largely marionettes of our DNA without any real moral choices, as Savulescu implies, then it is hard to know why he thinks we have any real moral duties or obligations at all."

Alan: You see this is the latest theory that really every thought that you have, every behavior that you have, is just the end result of all your genes and your DNA competing with each other for supremacy, and that's the totality that's called you. I'm not kidding, that's what they believe.

"If morality is merely the product of random mutations occurring over eons of evolutionary time, then morality is subjective and changes over time. The Harvard biologist E.O. Wilson and the philosopher of science Michael Ruse have famously stated that "Ethics as we understand it is an illusion fobbed off on us by our genes to get us to co-operate." If this is so, then Savulescu's moral imperative-the "Duty to Have Designer Babies"-evaporates. It's an illusion. There is no such thing as "moral enhancement," because there is no goal toward which to improve."

(3) What specific moral traits should we promote? If both altruism and selfishness are biological traits bequeathed on us by evolution, then what rational grounds do we have to prefer one to the other? Savulescu and I agree that altruism is superior to selfishness, but James Watson, one of the most famous geneticists of all time, advised young scientists to be selfish. Maybe Watson would select DNA to make people selfish, while Savulescu would choose altruistic DNA."

Alan: Now don't forget too you are talking about predators and survival. And remember going back to **Charles Galton Darwin** who was one of the top eugenicists, he also said the same thing, that we the elite mustn't alter ourselves, we must remain wild. Now what he meant was wild, and cruel if necessary, in order to survive, personal survival. They weren't altruistic; you understand? But he says the rest of the public, we'll alter them, he says, because they won't need these survival capabilities because the state will be making all their decisions for them. That's where we are today. Think about it.

"(4) Savulescu ironically assumes that the very humans in need of "moral enhancement" will make wise moral decisions about genetic selection."

"(5) The selection he is proposing is itself ethically controversial, because it

involves embryo screening and selective abortion. In concrete terms Savulescu is proposing killing human embryos that are deemed genetically less moral and preserving those deemed more moral."

Alan: It's just the same old eugenics thing, the fit and the unfit, you see. How you classify it depends on who you are at the top, who's making all the decisions.

Anyway it says:

"I applaud Savulescu's goal of making people more loving and moral."

Alan: Well he should go into religion then, you know.

"However, his program undermines itself, because it strips away the true meaning of love and morality. What he really means is: My DNA tells me that I should select DNA that makes people more moral. My response: My DNA does not tell me that, and even if it did, why should I listen to a bunch of chemicals?"

Alan: And ain't that the truth, eh? But this isn't just a little blurb in a paper. This is again a professor, from Oxford, pushing his stuff because it's a big, big movement on behalf of the elite who run the world. And what they do, just like at the top Ivy League universities in the States and elsewhere, they select and recruit out of their own you might say for the next generation and the next generation to push these things further and further and further. And believe you me they will not ask for, and they have never asked in the past for volunteers for all their experiments. The experiments have all been done on most of the public without them even knowing. And that is a fact.

And also here's an article that ties in with it, it's called:

"Human Exceptionalism"

"Psychiatric Patients Euthanized in the Netherlands"

Alan: Now they were the first country to come out with euthanasia. And now they have these vans that can go up to your home, if the local doctors refuse to kill you the government steps in and bumps you off anyway. Of course they'll harvest your organs and make a killing as well.

But it says:

"Rick Santorum got into trouble a few months ago for making some pointed criticisms of euthanasia in the Netherlands. As I pointed out at the time, his details were somewhat off, but the substance of his critique was spot on. Simply stated, euthanasia has taken Dutch medical ethics off a vertical moral cliff, to the point that psychiatric patients are sometimes terminated by their doctors or psychiatrists."

Alan: Now that's exactly what they claim the Nazis did. It's exactly, the unfit they went for, psychiatric patients and so on.

"Latest example: According to Dutch media, thirteen psychiatric patients were assisted in suicide last year. From the DutchNews story:

A total of 13 psychiatric patients were helped to end their life last year, compared with just two in 2010, according to new figures from the regional euthanasia monitoring groups."

Alan: *"Euthanasia monitoring groups"* for goodness sake. This is normal now. It doesn't take long, does it? Once you knock down the pillars the roof caves in. Back with more after this.

{Break ♪ - *You're listening to the Republic Broadcasting Network because you can handle the truth* - ♪ }

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through the Matrix and talking about euthanasia and eugenics. And there are so many things on the go right now all tied together. Because we're under the world managers. They have world managers now at the United Nations covering every aspect of all of this including overpopulation as they like to call it and all the hype they do with unsustainability, etcetera, etcetera. Oh too many elderly, we must kill them off and all that. There's just article after article being churned out right now as they get the idea through your heads until it becomes familiar to you and then when it happens in your area you'll think oh I guess they had to. It's normalized you see.

Anyway it says:

"A total of 13 psychiatric patients were helped to end their life last year, compared with just two in 2010, according to new figures from the regional euthanasia monitoring groups. Euthanasia among people in the early stages of dementia also rose last year to 49 cases, double that of 2010."

Alan: So, see, they're going to hit people who are going to cost them cash down the road. You understand that? This is what the World Health Organization is on about right now, and all the so-called environmentalists too. I just watched an article, a little video presentation with some guy labeled an environmentalist. I mean what's an environmentalist? We all live in the environment. Anyway he's on about oh the people that are coming down the road are going to be old, it's going to cripple us all, blah-blah, blah-blah, blah, what are we going to do about it? So they're getting you all prepared for euthanasia, folks.

Anyway it says:

"The figures are in line with a general upward trend. The total number of euthanasia cases rose 18% last year to 3,695 and the number of cases has doubled since 2006, the report said."

It says:

"Don't expect the media to care."

Alan: Well they're all owned by the Royal Institute of International Affairs.

"When they reference Dutch euthanasia, readers/viewers are always assured that medicalized killing is tightly controlled under "strict conditions," as the story quoted above does—even as it cites the euthanasias of psychiatric and

dementia patients!"

And it says here:

"Belgium has also legalized euthanasia, and a medical journal reported one psychiatric patient (as well as several disabled patients) euthanized and then subjected to consensual organ harvesting."

Alan: So you're profitable too. They can bump you off cheaply and don't treat you at all, that saves them cash, and then they can sell your organs off.

"The Swiss allow suicide clinics and its Supreme Court established a right to assisted suicide for the mentally ill."

Alan: They're all pushing this for the mentally ill. You get a little bit of depression as a teenager, go and bump yourself off.

"Here's the moral of the story: Once a society agrees that some suicides are good, the categories of the killable never stops expanding."

Alan: And that's what's happened in previous times that were called nasty and evil and here we are. But it's all done scientifically now. It's hygienic you know. Science makes everything hygienic, the term itself. That's how it works.

And then this article here too...

"A New York Organ Donor Network pressured hospital staffers to declare patients brain dead so they could sell their body parts — and even hired “coaches” to train staffers how to be more persuasive, a bombshell lawsuit charged yesterday."

Alan: I've mentioned this one before and other ones like it because Canada does the same thing. We have teams they fly in to try and convince grieving relatives, right at their vulnerable time, how they're going to save this little picture of this person here which has nothing to do with the real person that the organs are going to go to. That kind of stuff. And it says:

"The federally funded nonprofit used a “quota” system, and leaned heavily on the next of kin to sign consent forms when patients were not registered as organ donors, the suit charged. “They're playing God,” said plaintiff Patrick McMahon, 50, an Air Force combat veteran and nurse practitioner..."

Alan: Etcetera, etcetera. I'll put that up again tonight for those who want to tie these things in.

Now government always puts itself out, it's promoted again because they have public relations firms working on everything to put over something on you. And public relations is the term remember for propaganda, but they have massive marketing companies that are PR propaganda firms that will distort perception and make things palatable to you. Anyway, they also try to always show how good and accurate government is because it's awfully essential to have it all especially when it's growing like cancers all over the place, just more and more departments. It says, this is Australia:

"Health Dept withdraws inaccurate anatomy posters."

Alan: Listen to this one. This is government for you. Leave it to the bureaucrats.

"The Federal Health Department has been forced to withdraw one of its Aboriginal health posters after it was revealed to contain embarrassing errors about basic human organs. The poster, titled Female Human Anatomy, confuses the stomach with the lungs and incorrectly labels the ovaries as kidneys. It also has an arrow pointing to the intestine, but instead calls it the stomach. The poster also shows two pancreases."

Alan: That would be great for diabetics.

"The poster is part of the Government's Living Longer program aimed at improving the health outcomes of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. It was taken down from the campaign's website today. Opposition Indigenous health spokesman Andrew Laming says the campaign has been "severely undermined" by the errors."

Alan: You call these errors?

""The lack of any attention to detail in these posters is an insult to the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders that were the target audience for this material," Dr Laming said."

""Indigenous Health Minister Warren Snowdon needs to immediately recall these inaccurate posters and correct them." Mr Snowdon's office says the Department of Health and Ageing is currently in the process of recalling the inaccurate posters. "It is unacceptable and shouldn't have gone out. I've asked for all affected posters to be recalled immediately," Mr Snowdon said in a statement."

Alan: So this is what government does if you leave it to them. They can't even get the anatomy correct. They screw up everything to be honest with you, even the simplest things. Mind you with unlimited budgets it's no problem. We don't notice at the bottom because they don't hand us our tab every week saying you now owe so many millions because of all the money we've borrowed from the bankers. That's how things really work. And you understand too that you are in the century of change. The bank crashes were simply part of the strategy to get us into austerity. Austerity is where you're to be taught drastically and radically to consume less, to have no extra spending money; it will all go in essentials only. And even the essentials are going to be awfully, awfully expensive. And you will get less and it will cost you an awful lot more. That's why the big electric companies are not worried about cutting down. Oh they're cutting down, cutting down. No, because they're charging you double and triple and quadruple as they go along.

And it says:

"George Osborne's CO2 tax..."

Alan: Because they're going to tax you for the air that you breathe out you see, CO2.

"...will double UK electricity bills."

"There's a nasty shock in store for the British householder when a new 'carbon' tax comes into force. Fast approaching, if largely unnoticed, is yet another massive shock the Government has in store for us with its weirdly distorted energy policy. It is surprising to see what an abnormally high proportion of the electricity needed to keep our lights on has lately been coming from coal-fired power stations."

Alan: Which they want to close down.

"Last Wednesday evening, for instance, this was over 50 per cent, with only 1.3 per cent coming from wind power."

Alan: Wind power is a joke.

"Yet by next March, we learn, five of our largest coal-fired plants, capable of supplying a fifth of our average power needs, are to be shut down, much earlier than expected, under an EU..."

Alan: The European Union, this megalithic Soviet Union parliament.

"...anti-pollution directive. One reason why these plants are being hammered through their remaining quota of hours allowed by the EU is that a new UK tax comes into force next April, which aims to make fossil-fuel power significantly more expensive. In 2010, George Osborne announced his intention to impose, from April 2013, a "carbon floor price" of £16 on every tonne of CO₂ emitted by British industry, rising to £30 a tonne by 2020 and £70 a tonne by 2030."

"An explicit purpose of this tax is to make the cost of electricity from fossil fuels so uncompetitive compared with "renewables"..."

Alan: Whatever that is.

"...that it will, in the Treasury's words, "drive £30-£40 billion" of investment into "low carbon" sources such as wind and nuclear. On paper, the effect of Osborne's new tax on our electricity bills looks devastating."

Alan: Then they give the latest department of energy's climate change figures.

"...our power plants burnt 40 million tonnes of coal in 2011, emitting 116 million tonnes of CO₂."

Alan: This is all abstract, they're just guessing.

"They also generated 175,000 gigawatt hours from gas, at just over half a tonne of CO₂ per gigawatt. At £16 a tonne, this CO₂ would cost £3.5 billion – on top of our total current wholesale electricity cost of some £19 billion. Thus the new impost would represent nearly 20 per cent added to our electricity bills next year, and would almost double them by 2030."

Alan: Canada's going in the same direction by the way. So anyway you're getting hammered and it's going to go up and up and up and everything is passed on to

you remember. Everything is passed on to you eventually. And all the things transported too that burns fuel etcetera, carbon taxes, all the prices for everything go up. And this is the world they planned for you all, post industrial. You're post consumer and you're into authoritarian, you're under authoritarian societies now, systems now. Where they just decree something at the top and no matter what havoc it creates it's simply shoved on you instantaneously and then the big boys come out and hammer you if you won't comply. You see it's war against you all, if you don't understand what war is. There are many forms of war.

I've mentioned too about the banks expanding their goals. I'll put this up tonight again too because we're at the age and stage where Carroll Quigley talked about the central banking systems would all coalesce together under the umbrella of the world banking system and under the Bank for International Settlements.

"We're at the dawn of a revolution in central banking, in which the likes of the Bank of Canada, the U.S. Federal Reserve Board, and eventually the European Central Bank (ECB) will exert more influence over the global economy than government, business or consumers."

Alan: I'll put that up again tonight as well for those who want to see it. Now these fusion centers as they call all these information-gathering centers, there are so many of them across the U.S. and Canada and elsewhere.

"Multibillion-dollar scheme to set up intelligence 'fusion centers' after 9/11 has failed to catch any terrorists, Senate report reveals."

"A multibillion-dollar information-sharing network of 77 so-called fusion centers violated Americans' civil rights and failed to produce any valuable intelligence on terrorism, according to a scathing Senate report released on Wednesday. What began as an attempt to put local, state and federal officials in the same room analyzing the same intelligence has instead cost huge amounts of money for data-mining software, \$6,000 laptops, flat screen televisions and, in Arizona, two \$45,000 fully equipped Chevrolet Tahoes that are used for commuting, investigators found. The 141-page, bipartisan report is an unflinching evaluation of what the Department of Homeland Security has held up as a crown jewel of its security efforts. The report underscores a reality of post-9/11 Washington:"

"National security programs tend to grow, never shrink, even when their money and manpower far surpass the actual subject of terrorism. Much of this money went for ordinary local crime-fighting. Disagreeing with the critical conclusions of the report, Homeland Security says it is outdated, inaccurate and too focused on information produced by the program, ignoring benefits to local governments from their involvement with federal intelligence officials. Because of a convoluted grants process set up by Congress, Homeland Security officials don't know how much they have spent in their decade-long effort to set up so-called fusion centers in every state."

Alan: They don't know? Who's kidding who? They know.

"Government estimates range from less than \$300million to \$1.4billion in federal money, plus much more invested by state and local governments. Despite that, Congress is unlikely to pull the plug. That's because, whether

or not it stops terrorists, the program means politically important money for state and local governments."

Alan: Well that's not the reason at all. The reason it's up there folks has nothing to do with 9/11, it's for the century of change. And it's for watching and monitoring all of you because this is the new system, folks. They had to get it in using 9/11, that's why they had 9/11. That's what it's all about. And it was planned long ago, including all these fusion centers, before 9/11 was even hit. All they needed was the Pearl Harbor excuse, right, to get everybody on board with them.

An article tonight too I'll put up is about, you've got to understand that the West, Britain especially and America and Canada, had lots of folk fleeing Hitler, the rise of Nazism. Most of them were ardent communists and actually leaders to promote communism in the countries; they were heads. And a lot of them became professors in Canada, the States, and Britain too. This guy, it says...

"He hated Britain and excused Stalin's genocide. But was hero of the BBC [British Broadcasting Corporation] and the Guardian [Newspaper], Eric Hobsbawm a TRAITOR too?"

It says:

"On Monday evening, the BBC altered its programme schedule to broadcast an hour-long tribute to an old man who had died aged 95, with fawning contributions from the likes of historian Simon Schama and Labour peer Melvyn Bragg. The next day, the Left-leaning Guardian filled not only the front page and the whole of an inside page but also devoted almost its entire G2 Supplement to the news. The Times devoted a leading article to the death, and a two-page obituary. You might imagine, given all this coverage and the fact that Tony Blair and Ed Miliband also went out of their way to pay tribute, that the nation was in mourning."

Alan: Well the Miliband brothers, their dad taught in university, he was a communist that also flew the Nazis, and they also had relatives running the Soviet Union.

"Yet I do not believe that more than one in 10,000 people in this country had so much as heard of Eric Hobsbawm, the fashionable Hampstead Marxist who was the cause of all this attention. He had, after all, been open in his disdain for ordinary mortals."

Alan: Now this guy was attached to the different schools, like the Frankfurt school and so on that were made up of certain people who also had to flee Hitler and they had their own school in Germany and they fled it and they set it up in Britain and in the States. And they all deemed themselves high intellectuals. And they were teaching a form of Marxism too where they believed that the ordinary peasant you see was just always going to be a dumb stupid peasant and that they themselves should run the world, just like the elite are doing today; same thing. Awfully snobbish they were.

"Hobsbawm came to Britain as a refugee from Hitler's Europe before the war, but, as he said himself, he wished only to mix with intellectuals. 'I

refused all contact with the suburban petit bourgeoisie which I naturally regarded with contempt.'."

Alan: He says.

"If the name Hobsbawm rings a bell at all, people might recollect that it was also the name of Julia Hobsbawm, a PR..."

Alan: Public Relations, Propaganda.

"...expert who, in collaboration with the future Mrs Gordon Brown, was one of the spin doctors who sold New Labour to this country. There is a world of difference between the ideology of Julia's sleek, modern New Labour ideas and her father's hard-nosed Stalinism, but one of the things they had in common was contempt for 'ordinary people'."

Alan: And there's more to it actually. I'll put this up tonight by the way, this link, and his wikipedia page. But there is a certain particular trait a lot of them have in common with each other beyond just communism, folks, that also teaches that everybody else is inferior.

It says:

"Eric Hobsbawm took part in one of the most extraordinary conversations ever on British television. Speaking in 1994 to the author Michael Ignatieff about the fall of the Berlin Wall five years earlier, he was asked how he felt about his earlier support for the Soviet Union. If Communism had achieved its aims, but at the cost of, say, 15 to 20 million people - as opposed to the 100 million people it actually killed in Russia and China - would Hobsbawm have supported it? His answer was a single word: 'Yes'."

Alan: And this guy's a professor, teaching his crap to future murderers? Back with more after this break.

{Break ♪}

Hi folks. I'm back, we're Cutting Through the Matrix and we'll see if Aaron from Nevada is still hanging on there. Are you there Aaron?

Aaron: Hey buddy, how are you doing? I'm here.

Alan: Not bad at all, how are you doing?

Aaron: Alright man. Hey I'm wondering, do you know anything on these **Georgia Guidestones** and their 500 Million population level that is desired and all this stuff, do you know is that legit or what?

Alan: It is. All they know is that it was put up by the local Freemasons there and financed by a guy using a fake name obviously. But it was put up by Freemasons and it's definitely in tune with the whole UN population reduction agenda, the elitist agenda of killing off the unfit and just leaving the proper kind of folk alive to go into the new age.

Aaron: Okay, and secondly I heard you mention before you don't have like ex-CIA

agents on your show, etcetera. Could you please tell me where, what reference that might be to?

Alan: That I don't have them on? Well I don't have them on, I've mentioned it many times, because I don't believe you can have ex-CIA on. If you belong to an intelligence service, and this is under all NATO countries, U.S., Canada, Britain, elsewhere, you sign an official secrets act, and that official secrets act generally says that you can't speak about anything that you were involved in for a minimum of thirty years after retirement. And it gives you all the different penalties if you do. They actually enforce this, naturally, so you are sworn...

Aaron: I was listening to this other show and they always have like an ex-CIA, present CFR member on there and well it seems to be quite popular but can you trust what they say?

Alan: Well I'd be awfully suspicious of what they say or their intentions of what they're saying, or are they ex at all; are they still in the same business? Don't forget that the patriot radio had Bo Gritz out for a long time. He had his own show for years and he said himself on his show that he left the special forces and the Pentagon with "hip pocket orders", he says. And he was on for years on the radio and when 9/11 happened it was on television, they showed it in Canada, he was going up the congressional steps, he was stopped by a reporter who asked him who are you going to see? I'm going to see the congressmen he says, and tell them he says, it's these crazy right wing patriots that are behind this, the 9/11 takedown. That's what he said. He says the crazies who see black helicopters and run around in camo. Yeah, that's what he said.

Aaron: Have you ever been a Freemason yourself?

Alan: No.

Aaron: To dispel that myth that I heard on the internet. Thank you.

Alan: No, I've never been a Mason. I've been approached to join them. I won't join them. And you don't have to find out all that they're about. There is enough information out there you know.

Aaron: Well again, I like your approach. You don't have to pound the table with two fists in order to get the information out there to educate people. I really appreciate the contrast.

Alan: Yes, and all I use basically is stuff that's already published. So I'm not making this stuff up; and like the article yesterday was about, a mainstream article in Canada, why are Freemasons pushing this **Masonichip** to chip all the children across the country in the U.S., Canada, Britain and elsewhere, and their fingerprint, and DNA. {Laughs} What's that got to do with a little band of merry men who want self-improvement? Why are they doing this? But they are certainly doing things like this of course. But they do run local governments and so on, and they're sworn to secrecy, and they help each other up the ladder. And if you're a Mason they're awfully good to be in business with because they'll make sure all the business comes to you and not the guy next-door who's not a Mason. They actually say that themselves. It's a gang if you like, you know. Thanks for calling.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's goodnight, and may your God or your Gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

[Eugenics--Embryo-Screening for "Moral Enhancement"](#)

[Dutch Eugenics and Euthanasia Expands into Psychiatry](#)

[Pressure to Declare Patients Dead so Organs can be Harvested](#)

[Australia--Health Dept. Withdraws Pathetic Anatomy Poster](#)

[UK Electric Bills to Double due to CO2 Tax?](#)

[Central Banks Rise in Power](#)

[US Fusion Centers Failed to Catch Any Terrorists](#)

[Eric Hobsbawm, Historian and Communist Infiltrator Dies](#)

[& Hobsbawm](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- [BOOKS](#)** "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))
- [CDs](#)** Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)
Part 1 (1998) and
Part 2 (1998-2000)
- [DVDs](#)** "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"